

RESEARCH ARTICLE

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26524/jms.14.43

The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on Bangladeshi students

Subrata Banik a*, Niropam Sarkar Shohogb, Hridoy Kumer Banikc, Md. Rakibul Islamd, Kaniz Marium Akterd

Abstract

Bangladesh has been profoundly impacted by the COVID-19 epidemic since March 2020. It affected various age groups, occupations, and communities. Due to lockdowns, social distance, self-isolation or quarantine, medical services crises, job losses, and future uncertainty, most of the people suffered physically and mentally. Especially, long-term shut down of educational institutions and uncertainty in educational activities led the students into psychological distress, even sometimes into psychological disorder. This study aimed to investigate the overall scenario and psychological status of the students during the COVID-19 epidemic. Through a questionnaire survey, 464 responses were collected from university students who were selected by following a snowball sampling method. The questionnaire contained the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) scale. Results indicated that a significant number of the students (76.6%) were experiencing anxiety. Students' anxiety was significantly influenced by their educational level, where delay and uncertainty about earning a bachelor's or master's degree raised anxiety levels. Besides, female students were found more likely to be severely anxious. However, place of residence, changes in family income, lived at during epidemic, got COVID-19, and relative or acquaintance got COVID-19 had no significant effect on their anxiety. This study suggested that universities should prioritize mental health resources with flexible learning environments and foster a sense of community to help students recover and thrive in a post-pandemic world. And the government and educational institutions should work together to address and resolve this regard.

Keywords: COVID-19, Epidemic, Psychological Status, GAD-7, Students, Bangladesh.

Author Affiliation: a Department of Management, Mawlana Bhashani Science and Technology University, Tangail, Bangladesh.

^bUH&FPO, Upazila Health Complex, Borhanuddin, Bhola, Bangladesh.

^cAssistant Surgeon, Mohinondo Union Sub Center, Sador, Kishorgani, Bangladesh.

^d Department of Management, Mawlana Bhashani Science and Technology University, Tangail, Bangladesh.

Corresponding Author: Subrata Banik. Department of Management, Mawlana Bhashani Science and Technology University, Tangail, Bangladesh.

Email: subratamgt@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Subrata Banik, Niropam Sarkar Shohog, Hridoy Kumer Banik, Md. Rakibul Islam, Kaniz Marium Akter, The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on Bangladeshi students, Journal of Management and Science, 14(4) 2024 89-98. Retrieved from https://jmseleyon.com/index.php/jms/article/view/806

Received: 22 October 2024 Revised: 24 November 2024 Accepted: 25 December 2024

1. INTRODUCTION

On March 8, 2020, for the first time, the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) cases were confirmed in Bangladesh and gradually spread throughout the country. When additional three COVID-19 cases, including two children, were found on 16 March 2020, the Education Ministry of Bangladesh closed all educational institutions until 31 March, and later on, the Bangladesh Government declared a national public holiday effective from 26 March to 4 April and extended to 30 May 2020. The mass population mobility caused the novel coronavirus to become a super spreader all over the country. The

consequences pushed the nation to limit all activities from business to household, private to public services, etc., which led the people to stress both financially and mentally. Their lifestyle was changed dramatically within a very short time. General people needed to adapt to frequent changes like lockdowns, social distance, self-isolation or quarantine, medical services, job losses, uncertainty in educational activities, and so on. The increasing number of infections and deaths all over the world has affected people of all ages both physically and psychologically (Faisal et al., 2021). Bangladesh has also experienced 20,51,201

© The Author(s). 2024 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.



COVID-19-positive cases and 29,499 deaths due to this epidemic (DGHS, 2024). In addition, multiple variants of novel coronaviruses have been positively acting on the nation and the world as well. The continuous spread of the epidemic, strict isolation measures, and delays in starting schools, colleges, and universities across the country are expected to influence the mental health of the students (Cao et al., 2020).

The unpredictable nature of this epidemic and prolonged closure of educational institutions increased anxiety among students regarding their future academic as well as professional careers (Cao et al., 2020; Ela et al., 2021). UNICEF mentioned that this prolonged closure of educational institutions throughout the epidemic has affected over 40 million Bangladeshi students from pre-primary level to higher education (The Daily Star, 2021). It has an extremely serious impact not only on educational activities but also on students' health, protection, and psychosocial well-being (The Daily Star, 2021). Moreover, online education activities have also been creating extra stress and anxiety among the students due to poor internet connectivity, financial insolvency, poor devices, etc. So, extreme fear of academic delay and psychological distress among the students has arisen as a great public health concern (Hossain et al., 2021) and created suicidal ideation (Tasnim et al., 2020). Due to public health emergencies, the psychological conditions of students have become an urgent issue to be addressed. Therefore, this study has investigated the psychological/mental status/ conditions of students during the COVID-19 epidemic for the following purposes: a) to address the overall scenario of the students; b) to find out the mental status during this epidemic; and c) to provide information about mental health to the policymakers of Bangladesh.

2. Literature Review

COVID-19 is the disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). It usually spreads between people in close contact (WHO, 2023). Since December 2019, the multivariate nature of this coronavirus has revealed itself as a threat for the whole world (Peng, 2020). In consequence, from household to business, profession to non-profession, all were under its notorious impact economically and socially (Mofijur et al., 2021). Among all the sectors, the education system had been faced with unbearable challenges to mitigate the unexpected situation. Almost all the educational institutions at all levels had to stop their education system and remained stopped for a long time period. In universities, uncertainty in educational progress led students into stress, depression, loneliness, lack of motivation, difficulty focusing on schoolwork, restless sleep, appetite changes, and job loss concerns (Birmingham et al., 2023). Likewise, higher secondary level students had faced anxiety, depression, a pooled prevalence of stress, post-traumatic stress disorder, and impaired sleep quality, where females were found to have a higher level of anxiety and depression than males (Batra et al., 2021). Even the primary and secondary level students were found with anxiety, depression, negative self-concept, somatization, hostility, etc. (Karaman et al., 2021). It was a serious global health crisis that negatively impacted the youngsters (Alomyan, 2021).

Zhang et al. (2020) tried to find the psychological consequences, such as anxiety, depression, and stress, of the COVID-19 pandemic on teenagers in China. They emphasized resilience and positive coping with trauma-related distress, where negative coping led the students to depression, anxiety, stress symptoms, and trauma-related distress. In the Philippines, Tee et al. (2020) investigated the factors contributing to the psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic. They found that female gender, youth age, single status, quarantine, prolonged homestay, poor health status report, unnecessary worry, concerns for family, and discrimination were significantly associated with greater psychological impact of the pandemic and higher levels of stress, anxiety, and depression. Alomyan (2021), in his study, found that distance education during this epidemic in Jordan had negative impacts on both participants' psychology and learning. In Saudi Arabia, Alkhamees et al. (2020) tried to assess the degree of psychological impact during the epidemic, where nearly one-fourth of the participants experienced moderate to severe impact on their psychology. In Spain, Odriozola-González et al. (2020) found that Arts & Humanities and Social Sciences & Law students of the University of Valladolid exhibited higher scores compared to Engineering & Architecture students regarding anxiety, depression, stress, and the impact of events, while staff of the same university showed lower scores in all measures than students.

Passavanti et al. (2021) conducted a study among seven countries (Australia, China, Ecuador, Iran, Italy, Norway, and the United States) where over half of the adult students and workers were found to have high levels of stress, depression, and anxiety, as well as the risks of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). Roche et al. (2024) also found students with higher levels of stress, depressive symptoms, and anxiety symptoms in the United States. Sukhawathanakul et al. (2024) investigated how COVID-19-related psychological distress affected Canadian post-secondary students' academic achievement. They discovered students' lower academic success due to higher levels of COVID-19 psychological distress. In an Italian university, Villani et al. (2021) found students with anxiety and depression during the lockdown period of the epidemic. In French, university students were found with high levels of hassle, probable anxiety, and depressive symptoms (Le



Vigouroux et al., 2021). This study demonstrated that the most vulnerable students were concerned about their own and their loved ones' health. They believed that lockdown would negatively impact their future employment opportunities. Sundarasen et al. (2020) found that Malaysian university students were suffering from higher levels of anxiety during the peak of the epidemic crisis. In their study, remote online teaching and learning, financial constraints, and an uncertain future about academics and careers were the main stressors for the students. In another study, conducted in multiple nations (Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, Bangladesh, China, India, and Indonesia), Chinna et al. (2021) discovered that one-third of the students experienced anxiety during the COVID-19 epidemic and lockdown. In India, around 61% of college students had experienced anxiety from mild to severe extent (Chouksey & Agrawal, 2021). In Pakistan, a significant portion of college students had anxiety and depression symptoms in addition to poor sleep quality during the COVID-19 epidemic (Noorullah et al., 2023). In Bangladesh, university students were diagnosed with the symptoms of anxiety and depression at a significant level and poor mental health (Faisal et al., 2022). Though an increasing number of studies have addressed the psychological impact of COVID-19 on general students, Bangladesh has limited study on this field. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the psychological/mental status/conditions of students during the COVID-19 epidemic.

3. Methods

3.1. Study population and sample

The target population of this study was undergraduate and postgraduate students from all universities in Bangladesh. The respondents in the target population were sampled by snowball sampling. A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect the data from the respondents. For ensuring confidentiality, all responses were kept anonymous. Finally, 464 responses were included in the final data analysis.

3.2. Rating instruments

As a study instrument, the questionnaire had two parts: the first part contained questions relating to the respondents' demographic information (e.g., university type, education level, gender, age, marital status, residential nature, location of own house, number of sibling(s), monthly expenditure in case residing at own house, monthly expenditure in case residing outside of own house, sources of money for personal expenditure, nature of occupation, family dependence on student's income, father's occupation, mother's occupation, monthly family expenditure, Changes in family income, Lived at own/mess/relative's house, infected by COVID-19,

and relative or acquaintance got COVID-19); and the second part included questions about the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7). The GAD-7 consists of items based on seven main symptoms, where respondents had been asked how frequently they experienced these symptoms during the last two weeks (Toussaint et al., 2020). In this study, a 4-point scale (ranging from 0 = not at all, 1 = several days, 2 = over half the days, 3 = nearly every day) was used to measure respondents' psychological status.

3.3. Data Analysis

Data analysis was done using SPSS Version 23.0. In this study, a descriptive statistic was conducted to explain the respondents' demographics and other selected traits. In order to investigate the important relationships between sample characteristics and anxiety levels during the COVID-19 pandemic, a univariate analysis (a nonparametric test) was used (Abdellatif et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2020). And to confirm the reliability of the items, the Cronbach's alpha value was checked.

4. Results & Discussion

4.1. Demographic analysis:

The sample of this study mostly was from public universities, representing 77.4 percent, while the rest of them were from private universities, representing 22.6 percent (Table 1). The respondents comprised 43.5 percent males and 56.5 percent females. Among the sample of 464 university students, 70.3 percent were from the bachelor level and 29.7 percent from the masters level. Respondents mostly were found in the 21 to 23 year age group (48.5 percent), followed by the 24 to 26 year age group (40.1 percent), 18 to 20 year age group (8.4 percent), and 27 years and above age group (3.0 percent). Among them, the majority of the respondents were unmarried, representing 89.0 percent, while 11.0 percent of respondents were married. The residential nature of the respondents mostly was found in mess (50.0 percent), followed by the own house (24.6 percent), university hall (23.7 percent), and relative's house (1.7 percent).

Table 2 shows the socio-economic condition of the respondents. Of the 464 university students, about 47.8 percent were from urban areas, 43.1 percent from rural areas, and 9.1 percent from metropolitan areas. Among them, 79.97 percent of respondents had 0 to 3 siblings, 18.1 percent had 4 to 6 siblings, and 1.72 percent had 7 and more. The largest group of participants (85.6 percent) did not have any job, while 8.2 percent had a part-time job, 4.3 percent had a full-time job, and 1.9 percent were business/self-employed. Additionally, 6.7 respondents had family dependency on their income, whereas 93.3 percent had no family dependency on their income. The respondents' monthly



Table 1. Respondents' profile

| Cha | racteristics | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| University nature | Public | 359 | 77.4 |
| | Private | 105 | 22.6 |
| Education level | Bachelor | 326 | 70.3 |
| | Master | 138 | 29.7 |
| Gender | Male | 202 | 43.5 |
| | Female | 262 | 56.5 |
| Age | 18-20 Years | 39 | 8.4 |
| | 21-23 Years | 225 | 48.5 |
| | 24-26 Years | 186 | 40.1 |
| | 27 Years and above | 14 | 3.0 |
| Marital status | Unmarried | 413 | 89 |
| | Married | 51 | 11 |
| Residential nature | Own house | 114 | 24.6 |
| | Mess | 232 | 50.0 |
| | University hall | 110 | 23.7 |
| | Relative's house | 8 | 1.7 |

Source: Authors' Calculation through SPSS Analysis

expenditure (in case of residing in their own house) mostly was found below Tk. 3000 (13.1 percent), followed by Tk. 3001-Tk. 6000 (8 percent), Tk. 6001-Tk. 9000 (1.5 percent), Tk. 9001-Tk. 12000 (1.5 percent), and Tk. 12001 and above (0.6 percent). And in case of residing outside of the own house, the respondents' monthly expenditure was found to be below Tk. 3000 (5 percent), Tk. 3001-Tk. 6000 (41.6 percent), Tk. 6001-Tk. 9000 (16.6 percent), Tk. 9001-Tk. 12000 (6 percent), and Tk. 12001 and above (5.4 percent). Most of the money sources for their monthly expenditure came from family (74.1 percent), where 21.6 percent was from their tuition/job, and 4.3 percent was from scholarships.

Moreover, the respondent's parents' occupation was representing their family financial strength, where 39.4 percent of fathers were job-holders, 29.7 percent were businessmen, 12.3 percent were farmers, 11.6 percent were retired, and 1.3 percent were immigrants. And in the case of the respondent's mother's occupation, 80.2 percent were representing housewives, while 17 percent were job holders, 1.1 percent were businesswomen, and 0.9 percent were retired. Finally, the respondents' monthly family income mostly was found below Tk. 20,000 (38.4 percent), followed by Tk. 20,001-Tk. 40,000 (35.6 percent), Tk. 40,001-Tk. 60,000 (18.5 percent), Tk. 60001-Tk. 80000 (4.1 percent), Tk. 80001-Tk. 100000 (2.8 percent), and Tk. 100001 and above (0.6 percent). And the respondents' monthly family expenditure was found to be below Tk. 20000 (47.2 percent), Tk. 20001-Tk. 40000 (39 percent), Tk. 40001-Tk. 60000 (11 percent), Tk. 60001-Tk. 80000 (1.3 percent), Tk. 80001-Tk. 100000 (1.1 percent), and Tk. 100001 and above (0.4 percent).

In Table 3, the respondents' status during the epidemic was shown. In terms of the changes in family income, most of the family had experienced decreased income status, representing 56 percent, while 1.3 percent family income increased. And the rest of the family income remained the same (42.7 percent). Among all respondents, 91.4 percent lived at their own house during the COVID-19 epidemic, while 7.5 percent lived at a mess and 1.1 percent lived at a friend's or relative's house. The largest group of participants (86 percent) were not affected by the COVID-19 virus, whereas only 14 percent were affected by the COVID-19 virus. In cases where a relative or acquaintance got COVID-19, 73.3 percent answered 'no', while 26.7 percent answered 'yes'.

4.2. Reliability Testing

The Cronbach's alpha indicated the reliability of the instrument used in this research. For this a reliability test was conducted that shows a good Cronbach's alpha (0.825), representing high consistency of the items. According to Mertens (2014), alpha value above 0.75 indicates high reliability of the instrument.

4.3. Analysis of Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item (GAD-7) Scale:

Here the GAD-7 Scale shows how often participants have been bothered by the 7 (seven) scale problem



Table 2. Socio-economic condition of the respondents

| Charac | teristics | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Location of own house | Metropolitan | 42 | 9.1 |
| | Urban | 222 | 47.8 |
| | Rural | 200 | 43.1 |
| Number of sibling(s) | 0-3 | 371 | 79.97 |
| | 4-6 | 84 | 18.1 |
| | 7 and more | 8 | 1.72 |
| Expenditure, in case | Below 3000 | 61 | 13.1 |
| residing at own house | 3001-6000 | 37 | 8.0 |
| (monthly) | 6001-9000 | 7 | 1.5 |
| | 9001-12000 7 | | 1.5 |
| | 12001 and above | 3 | 0.6 |
| Expenditure, in case | Below 3000 | 23 | 5.0 |
| residing outside of own | 3001-6000 | 193 | 41.6 |
| house (monthly) | 6001-9000 | 77 | 16.6 |
| | 9001-12000 | 28 | 6.0 |
| | 12001 and above | 25 | 5.4 |
| Sources of money for | Family | 344 | 74.1 |
| personal expenditure | Tuition/job | 100 | 21.6 |
| | Other sources (scholar-ship etc.) | 20 | 4.3 |
| Nature of occupation (if | Do not have a job | 397 | 85.6 |
| any) | Part-time job | 38 | 8.2 |
| | Full-time job | 20 | 4.3 |
| | Business/self-employed | 9 | 1.9 |
| Family dependence on | Yes | 31 | 6.7 |
| your income | No | 433 | 93.3 |
| Father's occupation | Farmer | 57 | 12.3 |
| | Immigrant | 6 | 1.3 |
| | Job | 183 | 39.4 |
| | Business | 138 | 29.7 |
| | Retired | 54 | 11.6 |
| | Dead | 25 | 5.4 |
| Mother's occupation | Housewife | 372 | 80.2 |
| | Job | 79 | 17.0 |
| | Business | 5 | 1.1 |
| | Retired | 4 | 0.9 |
| | Dead | 4 | 0.9 |
| Family income (monthly) | Below 20,000 | 178 | 38.4 |
| | 20,001-40,000 | 165 | 35.6 |
| | 40,001-60,000 | 86 | 18.5 |
| | 60,001-80,000 | 19 | 4.1 |
| | 80,001-1,00,000 | 13 | 2.8 |
| | 100001 and above | 3 | 0.6 |



| Family expenditure (monthly) | Below 20,000 | 219 | 47.2 |
|------------------------------|------------------|-----|------|
| | 20,001-40,000 | 181 | 39.0 |
| | 40,001-60,000 | 51 | 11.0 |
| | 60,001-80,000 | 6 | 1.3 |
| | 80,001-1,00,000 | 5 | 1.1 |
| | 100001 and above | 2 | 0.4 |

Source: Authors' Calculation through SPSS Analysis

Table 3. Respondents' status during the epidemic

| Character | Frequency | Percent | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|------|
| Changes in family income | Remained same | 198 | 42.7 |
| | Decreased | 260 | 56 |
| | Increased | 6 | 1.3 |
| Lived at | ved at Own house | | 91.4 |
| | Mess | 35 | 7.5 |
| | Friend/relative's house | 5 | 1.1 |
| Got COVID-19 | Yes | 65 | 14 |
| | No | 399 | 86 |
| Relative or acquaintance got | Yes | 124 | 26.7 |
| COVID-19 | No | 340 | 73.3 |

Source: Authors' Calculation through SPSS Analysis

Table 4. Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item (GAD-7) Scale

| | Mode | Mean |
|--|------|------|
| I feel nervous, anxious, or edge during the COVID-19 epidemic (GAD1) | 1 | 1.41 |
| I was not being able to stop or control worrying during the COVID-19 epidemic (GAD2) | 1 | 1.27 |
| I was worrying too much about different things during the COVID-19 epidemic (GAD3) | 1 | 1.54 |
| I got trouble relaxing during the COVID-19 epidemic (GAD4) | 1 | 1.21 |
| During the COVID-19 epidemic, I was being so restless that it was hard for me to sit still (GAD5) | 1 | 1.11 |
| During the COVID-19 epidemic, I was becoming easily annoyed or irritable (GAD6) | 1 | 1.33 |
| During the COVID-19 epidemic, I was feeling afraid as if something awful might happen (GAD7) | 1 | 1.50 |
| If you faced any of the above problems, how difficult have these made it for you to do your work, take care of things at home, or get along with other people? | 1 | 1.36 |

statements over their last 2 weeks during the COVID-19 crisis. In Table 4, the mean value (1.41) of the first scale statement shows that most of the participants had felt nervous and anxious for several days to over half the days. During the COVID-19 epidemic, the participants could not control their worrying (mean value 1.27) for several days to over half the days. The largest group of participants (mean value 1.54) were found to be worrying too much about different things in the crisis of COVID-19. They confronted this problem near over half the days of every day. Moreover, the participants

got trouble relaxing (mean value 1.21) for several days during this epidemic. In consequence, they were being so restless (mean value 1.11) for several days that it was hard for them to sit still. Even the participants were becoming easily annoyed or irritable (mean value 1.33) for several days to over half the days. Additionally, most of the participants said that they were feeling afraid (mean value 1.50) near over half the days of every day, as if something awful might happen to them.

Finally, participants answered the general



question about the difficulty they faced to do their work, take care of things at home, or get along with other people in consequence of the above anxiety disorder. Participants mostly were found in somewhat difficult position (50.6 percent) to do their work, take care of things at home, or get along with other people, where 26.1 percent were in very difficult position, 12.1 percent were in not difficult at all, and 11.2 percent were found in extremely difficult position (Table 5).

4.4. Anxiety levels among university students during the epidemic

Table 6 shows how the mental health of university students was affected to varying degrees during the COVID-19 epidemic. Of the 464 university students, near about one-quarter (23.5 percent) had no symptoms of anxiety, whereas 38.4 percent of students had mild anxiety, 26.1 percent had moderate anxiety, and 12.1 percent had severe anxiety.

Table 5. Answers of the General Question

| problem to do yo | I Question: If you faced any of the above ms, how difficult have these made it for you our work, take care of things at home, or get with other people? | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------|---|-----------|---------|
| Valid | Not difficult at all | 56 | 12.1 |
| | Somewhat difficult | 235 | 50.6 |
| | Very difficult | 121 | 26.1 |
| | Extremely difficult | 52 | 11.2 |
| | Total | 464 | 100.0 |

Table 6. Number of students with different anxiety levels (n = 464)

| Anxiety level | Number | Ratio (%) |
|------------------|--------|-----------|
| Normal | 109 | 23.5 |
| Mild | 178 | 38.4 |
| Moderate | 121 | 26.1 |
| Severe | 56 | 12.1 |

Note: Normal = 0-5, Mild = 6-10, Moderate = 11-15, Severe = 16-21

4.5. Univariate analysis of students' anxiety about the epidemic

The relationship between the demographic variables of students and anxiety is shown in Table 7. Educational level of the students had a significant effect on anxiety, such that delay and uncertainty in the completion of Bachelor's or Master's degree had increased anxiety (P <.05), whereas place of residence, changes in family income, lived at during epidemic, got COVID-19, and relative or acquaintance got COVID-19 had no significant effect on anxiety (P >.05). Moreover, students in bachelor level (12.88%) and female students (12.98%) were more likely to be severely anxious (P <.05).

5. Conclusion

The COVID-19 epidemic had a profound psychological impact on university students worldwide, affecting their mental health, academic performance, and overall well-being. The unprecedented global crisis led to the closure of

universities, the shift to online learning, and significant disruptions to daily life, contributing to heightened levels of stress, anxiety, and uncertainty among students. In this study, about 76.6% of university students have reported feeling anxious due to this COVID-19 outbreak. Students' anxiety was significantly influenced by their educational level, where delay and uncertainty about earning a bachelor's or master's degree raised anxiety levels. Besides, female students were found more likely to be severely anxious. However, place of residence, changes in family income, lived at during epidemic, got COVID-19, and relative or acquaintance got COVID-19 had no significant effect on university students' anxiety. In case of feeling nervous, anxious, worrying, restless, annoyed, irritable, afraid of awful incidents, and relaxation trouble, university students of Bangladesh were found in struggle between several days to over half the days. Students' mental health is significantly affected when they faced the public health emergencies during the epidemic, and they need the support, assistance, and attention of



Table 7. Univariate analysis of students' anxiety about the epidemic

| Variables | Total | Anxiety level | | | | r | р |
|--|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------|-------|
| | | Normal | Mild | Moderate | Severe | | |
| Education level | | | | | | -0.108* | 0.020 |
| Bachelor | 326 (70.3) | 66 (20.25) | 123 (37.73) | 95 (29.14) | 42 (12.88) | | |
| Master | 138 (29.7) | 43 (31.16) | 55 (39.86) | 26 (18.84) | 14 (10.14) | | |
| Gender | | | | | | 0.093* | 0.046 |
| Male | 202 (43.5) | 48 (23.76) | 81 (40.10) | 51 (25.25) | 22 (10.89) | | |
| Female | 262 (56.5) | 61 (23.28) | 97 (37.02) | 70 (26.72) | 34 (12.98) | | |
| Place of residence | | | | | | 0.045 | 0.496 |
| Metropol- itan | 42 (9.1) | 8 (19.05) | 24 (57.14) | 2 (4.76) | 8 (19.05) | | |
| Urban | 222 (47.8) | 59 (26.58) | 76 (34.23) | 68 (30.63) | 19 (8.56) | | |
| Rural | 200 (43.1) | 42 (21.00) | 78 (39.00) | 51 (25.50) | 29 (14.50) | | |
| Changes in family income | | | | | | 0.041 | 0.666 |
| Remained same | 198 (42.7) | 54 (27.27) | 76 (38.38) | 49 (24.75) | 19 (9.60) | | |
| Decreased | 260 (56) | 52 (20.00) | 101 (38.85) | 70 (26.92) | 37 (14.23) | | |
| Increased | 6 (1.3) | 3 (50.00) | 1 (16.67) | 2 (33.33) | 0 (0.00) | | |
| Lived at during epidemic | | | | | | 0.012 | 0.735 |
| Own house | 424 (91.4) | 102 (24.06) | 167 (39.39) | 105 (24.76) | 50 (11.79) | | |
| Mess | 35 (7.5) | 5 (14.29) | 9 (25.71) | 15 (42.86) | 6 (17.14) | | |
| Friend/ relative's house | 5 (1.1) | 2 (40.00) | 2 (40.00) | 1 (20.00) | 0 (0.00) | | |
| Got COVID-19 | | | | | | 0.013 | 0.774 |
| Yes | 65 (14) | 15 (23.08) | 31 (47.69) | 16 (24.62) | 3 (4.61) | | |
| No | 399 (86) | 94 (23.56) | 147 (36.84) | 105 (26.32) | 53 (13.28) | | |
| Relative or acquain- tance got COVID-19 | | | | | | -0.066 | 0.153 |
| Yes | 124 (26.7) | 31 (25.00) | 66 (53.23) | 22 (17.74) | 5 (4.03) | | |
| No | 340 (73.3) | 78 (22.94) | 112 (32.94) | 99 (29.12) | 51 (15.00) | | |
| - | | | | | | | |

^{*.} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed) society, families, and educational institutions (Cao et al., 2020).

Hence, university students need better mental health support systems within educational institutions.

Moreover, universities will need to prioritize mental health resources, provide flexible learning environments, and foster a sense of community to help students recover and thrive in a post pandemic



world. Additionally, the government should take a crisis management program in this regard. This study suggests that for university students to receive timely, high-quality crisis-oriented psychological treatments, the government and educational institutions should work together to address and resolve this issue.

There are certain limitations to this work that may serve as guides for further research. A small sample size can limit the generalization of its results. Thus, it is suggested that future studies should collect more data for the generalization. The present study also suggests that future studies can take gender as a moderating variable. In addition, future research should examine the role of educational institutions in balancing the students educational and personal lives. Finally, future research should investigate the post-COVID-19 impact on students' psychology.

Acknowledgemet

Subrata Banik wrote this article, Niropam Sarkar Shohog, Hridoy Kumer Banik, Md. Rakibul Islam, and Kaniz Marium Akter assisted in concept development and data analysis. The authors thank the university students of Bangladesh for their participation in this study.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Abdellatif, W., Ding, J., Jalal, S., Nguyen, T., Khorshed, D., Rybicki, F. J., ... & Khosa, F. (2020). Lack of gender disparity among administrative leaders of Canadian health authorities. Journal of women's health, 29(11), 1469-1474. https://doi.org/10.1089/ jwh.2019.7852
- 2. Alkhamees, A. A., Alrashed, S. A., Alzunaydi, A. A., Almohimeed, A. S., & Aljohani, M. S. (2020). The psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the general population of Saudi Arabia. Comprehensive psychiatry, 102, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsych.2020.152192
- 3. Alomyan, H. (2021). The Impact of Distance Learning on the Psychology and Learning of University Students during the COVID-19 Pandemic. International Journal of Instruction, 14(4), 585-606. https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2021.14434a
- Batra, K., Sharma, M., Batra, R., Singh, T. P., & Schvaneveldt, N. (2021). Assessing the psychological impact of COVID-19 among college students: an evidence of 15 countries, Healthcare, 9(2), 2-18. MDPI. https://doi.org/10.3390/ healthcare9020222
- 5. Birmingham, W. C., Wadsworth, L. L., Lassetter, J. H., Graff, T. C., Lauren, E., & Hung, M. (2023).

- COVID-19 lockdown: Impact on college students' lives. Journal of American College Health, 71(3), 879-893. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.202 1.1909041
- Cao, W., Fang, Z., Hou, G., Han, M., Xu, X., Dong, J., & Zheng, J. (2020). The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China, Psychiatry Research, 287, 1-5. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112934
- 7. Chinna, K., Sundarasen, S., Khoshaim, H. B., Kamaludin, K., Nurunnabi, M., Baloch, G. M., ... & Memon, Z. (2021). Psychological impact of COVID-19 and lock down measures: An online cross-sectional multicounty study on Asian university students. PloS one, 16(8), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253059
- Chouksey, A., & Agrawal, M. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on psychological health of college students in India. Engineered Science, 15(2), 177-186. Doi:10.30919/es8d483
- 9. Closure of educational institutes in pandemic has affected 40m Bangladeshi students: Unicef. The Daily Star (retrieved on 30 November 2021 from https://www.thedailystar.net/youth/education/news/closure-educational-institutes-pandemic-has-affected-40m-bangladeshi-students-unicef-2159826)
- 10. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19). The World Health Organization (retrieved on 09 August 2023 from https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/coronavirus-disease-(covid-19))
- 11. COVID-19 Dynamic Dashboard for Bangladesh. Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS), Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Bangladesh. (retrieved on 18 November 2024 from https://old.dghs.gov.bd/index.php/en/home/81-englishroot/5393-covid-19-links-en)
- 12. Ela, M. Z., Shohel, T. A., Shovo, T., Khan, L., Jahan, N., Hossain, M. H., & Islam, M. N. (2021). Prolonged lockdown and academic uncertainties in Bangladesh: A qualitative investigation during the COVID-19 pandemic, Heliyon, 7(2), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06263
- 13. Faisal, R. A., Jobe, M. C., Ahmed, O., & Sharker, T. (2022). Mental health status, anxiety, and depression levels of Bangladeshi university students during the COVID-19 pandemic. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 20(3), 1500-1515. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00458-y
- 14. Hossain, M. J., Ahmmed, F., Rahman, S. M. A., Sanam, S., Emran, T. B., & Mitra, S. (2021). Impact of online education on fear of academic delay and psychological distress among university students following one year of COVID-19 outbreak in Bangladesh. Heliyon, 7(6). DOI: 10.1016/j. heliyon.2021.e07388



- 15. Karaman, M. A., Eşici, H., Tomar, İ. H., & Aliyev, R. (2021). COVID-19: Are school counseling services ready? Students' psychological symptoms, school counselors' views, and solutions. Psychology, 12. https://doi: 10.3389/ fpsyg.2021.647740
- 16. Le Vigouroux, S., Goncalves, A., & Charbonnier, E. (2021). The psychological vulnerability of French university students to the COVID-19 confinement. Health Education & Behavior, 48(2), 123-131. https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198120987128
- 17. Mertens, D.M. (2014). Research and Evaluation in Education and Psychology: Integrating Diversity with Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Methods: Integrating Diversity with Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Methods. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- 18. Mofijur, M., Fattah, I. R., Alam, M. A., Islam, A. S., Ong, H. C., Rahman, S. A., ... & Mahlia, T. M. I. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on the social, economic, environmental and energy domains: Lessons learnt from a global pandemic. Sustainable production and consumption, 26, 343-359. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.10.016
- 19. Noorullah, A., Mansoor, M., & Zahid, A. (2023). Psychology university students' mental health status during COVID-19 pandemic in Karachi, Pakistan. Open Journal of Psychiatry, 13(2), 45. DOI: 10.4236/ojpsych.2023.132006
- 20. Odriozola-González, P., Planchuelo-Gómez, Á., Irurtia, M. J., & de Luis-García, R. (2020). Psychological effects of the COVID-19 outbreak and lockdown among students and workers of a Spanish university. Psychiatry research, 290, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113108
- 21. Passavanti, M., Argentieri, A., Barbieri, D. M., Lou, 30. Zhang, C., Ye, M., Fu, Y., Yang, M., Luo, F., Yuan, J., & B., Wijayaratna, K., Mirhosseini, A. S. F., ... & Ho, C. H. (2021). The psychological impact of COVID-19 and restrictive measures in the world. Journal of affective disorders, 283, 36-51. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.01.020
- 22. Peng, M. (2020). Outbreak of COVID-19: An emerging global pandemic threat. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, 129, 1-14. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110499
- 23. Roche, A. I., Holdefer, P. J., & Thomas, E. B. (2024). College student mental health: Understanding changes in psychological symptoms in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. Current Psychology, 43(14), 13041-13050. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-03193-w
- 24. Sukhawathanakul, P., Hadwin, A., Rostampour, R., Bahena Olivares, M., & Shostak, K. (2024). Studying under stress: The effect of COVID-19 psychological distress on academic challenges and performance of post-secondary students.

- Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice, 26(2), 567-580. https://doi. org/10.1177/15210251221104245
- 25. Sundarasen, S., Chinna, K., Kamaludin, K., Nurunnabi, M., Baloch, G. M., Khoshaim, H. B., ... & Sukayt, A. (2020). Psychological impact of COVID-19 and lockdown among university students in Malaysia: Implications and policy recommendations. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(17), 6206. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17176206
- 26. Tasnim, R., Islam, M. S., Sujan, M. S. H., Sikder, M. T., & Potenza, M. N. (2020). Suicidal ideation among Bangladeshi university students early during the COVID-19 pandemic: Prevalence estimates and correlates. Children and Youth Services Review, 119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105703
- 27. Tee, M. L., Tee, C. A., Anlacan, J. P., Aligam, K. J. G., Reyes, P. W. C., Kuruchittham, V., & Ho, R. C. (2020). Psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic in the Philippines. Journal of affective disorders, 277, 379-391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.043
- 28. Toussaint, A., Hüsing, P., Gumz, A., Wingenfeld, K., Härter, M., Schramm, E., & Löwe, B. (2020). Sensitivity to change and minimal clinically important difference of the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Questionnaire (GAD-7). Journal of affective disorders, 265, 395-401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. iad.2020.01.032
- 29. Villani, L., Pastorino, R., Molinari, E., Anelli, F., Ricciardi, W., Graffigna, G., & Boccia, S. (2021). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on psychological well-being of students in an Italian university: a web-based cross-sectional survey. Globalization and health, 17, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-021-00680-w
- Tao, Q. (2020). The psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on teenagers in China. Journal of Adolescent Health, 67(6), 747-755. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.08.026

